

PTO/SB/97 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0851-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

CENTRALIZED FACSIMILE NUMBER: 703-872-9306

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 25 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the
United States Patent and Trademark Officeon March 25, 2005

Date



Signature

Cheryl G. Ruby

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify
each submitted paper.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

FEE FORM

SUMMARY OF TELEPHONE INTERVIEW OF MARCH 24, 2005

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES SUBMITTED INCLUDING COVER PAGE: 5

SERIAL NUMBER: 09/991,140

FILED: November 16, 2001

INVENTOR: Eser Kandogan

DOCKET NUMBER: ARC920010111US1

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.


PTO/SB/21 (08-00)


Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM <i>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</i>	Application Number	09/991,140	
	Filing Date	11/16/2001	
	First Named Inventor	Eser Kandogan	
	Group Art Unit	2635	
	Examiner Name	T. Edwards	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	5	Attorney Docket Number	ARC920010111US1

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Assignment Papers (for an Application) <input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Summary of 03/24/05 telephone interview
Remarks _____		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Daniel E. Johnson Reg. No. 37,033
Signature	
Date	03/25/2005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via facsimile on the date shown below.			
			03/25/05
Typed or printed name	Cheryl G. Ruby		
Signature		Date	03/25/2005

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/17 (12-04v2)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004.

Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

**FEE TRANSMITTAL
For FY 2005**☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 0.00

Complete if Known

Application Number	09/991,140
Filing Date	November 16, 2001
First Named Inventor	Eser Kandogan
Examiner Name	T. Edwards
Art Unit	2635
Attorney Docket No.	ARC920010111US1

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify): _____

☒ Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 09-0441 Deposit Account Name: International Business Machines

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee

☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 ☒ Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION**1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES**

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65	
Plant	200	100	300	150	160	80	
Reissue	300	150	500	250	600	300	
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES**Fee Description**

Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)

Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
50	25
200	100
360	180

Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)

Multiple dependent claims

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
--------------	--------------	----------	---------------

- 20 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.

Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
---------------	--------------	----------	---------------

- 3 or HP = _____ x _____ = _____

HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
--------------	--------------	--	----------	---------------

- 100 = _____ / 50 = _____ (round up to a whole number) x _____ = _____

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): _____

Fees Paid (\$)

SUBMITTED BY

Signature	Daniel E. Johnson	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	37,033	Telephone	408-927-3367
Name (Print/Type)	Daniel E. Johnson			Date	March 25, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.136. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 30 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9198 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 25 2005

PATENT**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: : March 25, 2005
Eser Kandogan et al. : Group Art Unit: 2635
Serial No.: 09/991,140 : Examiner: T. Edwards
Filed: November 16, 2001 : San Jose, California
Title: **APPARATUS AND METHOD USING COLOR-CODED OR PATTERN-
CODED KEYS IN TWO-KEY INPUT PER CHARACTER TEXT ENTRY**

SUMMARY OF MARCH 24, 2005 TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

A telephone interview was conducted on March 24, 2005 to discuss the above-referenced application. The following individuals participated: Examiner Timothy Edwards, Inventor Eser Kandogan, and Applicant's representative Daniel Johnson.

Messrs. Kandogan and Johnson sincerely thank Examiner Edwards for taking the time to discuss this case with us.

Mr. Johnson began by contending that US Patent 4,555,193 to Stone does not support an obviousness rejection of Applicants' subject matter as claimed, since Stone and Applicants' inventions rely on different principles of operation (concurrent actuation of keys vs. sequential actuation of keys, respectively); he cited MPEP 2143.01, which states: "The proposed modification cannot change the principle of operation of a reference".

ARC920010111US1

-1-

09/991,140

Messrs. Johnson and Kandogan discussed Claims 10 and 22 in light of these two principles of operation, with reference to Applicants' Figure 10. Claim 1 was discussed briefly as well. Messrs. Johnson and Kandogan maintained that Stone's principle of operation could not provide adequate disambiguation for the embodiment of Figure 10 or for any other of the claimed embodiments and methods.

Mr. Edwards mentioned some of the similarities and differences between Stone and Applicants' invention, and expressed a desire to conduct some additional prior art searching at this point.

Respectfully submitted,

Eser Kandogan et al.



Daniel E. Johnson (Reg. No. 37,033)

Agent for Applicants

Phone (408) 927-3367

ARC920010111US1.phone 1.doc

ARC920010111US1

-2-

09/991,140